# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# Tidal volume significantly affects oxygenation in healthy pigs during high-frequency oscillatory ventilation compared to conventional ventilation

Karel Roubík<sup>1</sup>, Jakub Ráfl<sup>1\*</sup>, Martin Rožánek<sup>1</sup>, Petr Kudrna<sup>1</sup> and Mikuláš Mlček<sup>2</sup>

\*Correspondence: rafl@fbmi.cvut.cz <sup>1</sup> Department of Biomedical Technology, Faculty of Biomedical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, nam. Sitna 3105, 272 01 Kladno, Czech Republic Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

# Abstract

**Background:** The role of high-frequency oscillatory ventilation (HFOV) has long been debated. Numerous studies documented its benefits, whereas several more recent studies did not prove superiority of HFOV over protective conventional mechanical ventilation (CV). One of the accepted explanations is that CV and HFOV act differently, including gas exchange.

**Methods:** To investigate a different level of coupling or decoupling between oxygenation and carbon dioxide elimination during CV and HFOV, we conducted a prospective crossover animal study in 11 healthy pigs. In each animal, we found a normocapnic tidal volume ( $V_T$ ) after the lung recruitment maneuver. Then,  $V_T$  was repeatedly changed over a wide range while keeping constant the levels of PEEP during CV and mean airway pressure during HFOV. Arterial partial pressures of oxygen ( $P_aO_2$ ) and carbon dioxide ( $P_aCO_2$ ) were recorded. The same procedure was repeated for CV and HFOV in random order.

**Results:** Changes in  $P_aCO_2$  intentionally induced by adjustment of  $V_T$  affected oxygenation more significantly during HFOV than during CV. Increasing  $V_T$  above its normocapnic value during HFOV caused a significant improvement in oxygenation, whereas improvement in oxygenation during CV hyperventilation was limited. Any decrease in  $V_T$  during HFOV caused a rapid worsening of oxygenation compared to CV.

**Conclusion:** A change in  $P_aCO_2$  induced by the manipulation of tidal volume inevitably brings with it a change in oxygenation, while this effect on oxygenation is significantly greater in HFOV compared to CV.

**Keywords:** Mechanical ventilation, High-frequency oscillatory ventilation, Oxygenation, Tidal volume

# Background

In clinical practice, the elimination of carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  during conventional mechanical ventilation (CV) depends on alveolar ventilation, defined as minute ventilation reduced by dead space ventilation. The effective removal of  $CO_2$  is therefore



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/public cdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

proportional to respiratory rate and tidal volume ( $V_{\rm T}$ ). Oxygenation, on the other hand, is primarily controlled by the fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO<sub>2</sub>), mean airway pressure and positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) [1, 2]. Tidal volume, by opening lung alveolar units, also affects oxygenation [3], although  $V_{\rm T}$  is not typically increased for this purpose because of concerns regarding ventilator-associated lung injury (e.g., volutrauma). The trial conducted by the ARDS Network [4] showed better oxygenation (but worse mortality) at  $V_{\rm T}$ =12 mL/kg versus  $V_{\rm T}$ =6 mL/kg.

One of the theoretical advantages of high-frequency oscillatory ventilation (HFOV) over other high-frequency modes is the relative decoupling of oxygenation and CO<sub>2</sub> elimination [5, 6]. As with conventional mechanical ventilation, oxygenation during HFOV is primarily determined by mean airway pressure and by FiO<sub>2</sub>. Since tidal volume cannot be set directly on an adult HFOV ventilator, delivered tidal volume is set indirectly using pressure amplitude  $\Delta P$  (controlled by the parameter called 'power') and the frequency of oscillations (Hz).  $V_{\rm T}$  is increased by increasing  $\Delta P$  (i.e., increasing 'power') or decreasing the frequency of oscillations [7]. At any given power and frequency combination,  $V_{\rm T}$  delivery into the lungs is also influenced by relative inspiratory time (e.g., '% inspiratory time,' in fact inspiratory-to-expiratory ratio, I:E), and the diameter of the endotracheal tube (ETT). The portion of tidal volume delivered directly to the alveolar space may be further affected by the presence or absence of an ETT cuff leak [8-10]. In addition, the combination of a high  $\Delta P$  and a low mean airway pressure (e.g., during weaning) may result in the entrainment of  $CO_2$  in the inspiratory limb of the HFOV ventilator circuit [11, 12]. Ventilation and thus  $CO_2$  elimination during HFOV are complex and determined by changes in power, oscillatory frequency, and by washout of  $CO_2$ around the endotracheal tube cuff.

When the authors started to use HFOV in adult patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) more than 15 years ago, they used a custom-made respiratory monitor for the measurement of  $V_{\rm T}$  delivered during HFOV [13, 14]. It was noticed that  $V_{\rm T}$  during HFOV was not only important for the control of CO<sub>2</sub> elimination but that  $V_{\rm T}$  affected oxygenation as well, even if mean airway pressure was kept constant. The authors also noticed that in contrast to CV, any degree of hyperventilation led to an appreciable increase in oxygenation. On the other hand, a slight decrease in ventilation, e.g., during permissive hypercapnia that is also recommended during HFOV [15–17], was associated with deterioration in oxygenation compared to the previous normocapnic period.

The aim of the study is to evaluate the effects of changes in tidal volume upon oxygenation during high-frequency oscillatory ventilation compared to conventional mechanical ventilation in healthy pigs.

# Results

A total of 11 healthy animals underwent the whole protocol of the study and corresponding data were used for evaluation. One additional animal died due to a cardiac arrest during the experiment. The animals were ventilated in the CV phase and in the HFOV phase. In each phase, we varied  $V_{\rm T}$  over a wide range and recorded arterial partial pressures of oxygen (P<sub>a</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and carbon dioxide (P<sub>a</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>). All data were aggregated into two groups corresponding to CV and HFOV. In total, the aggregated data contained 177 individual data entries (93 CV and 84 HFOV) containing  $V_{\rm T}$ ,  $P_{\rm a}CO_2$  and  $P_{\rm a}O_2$  values.

The effect of tidal volume changes on carbon dioxide elimination during both conventional and high-frequency ventilation is presented in Fig. 1. The power function  $y = ax^b + c$  was applied to the HFOV data ( $R^2 = 0.968$ ) and to the CV data ( $R^2 = 0.943$ ). The results document a statistically significant (P < 0.001) stronger effect of tidal volume changes on CO<sub>2</sub> elimination during HFOV compared to CV. The same relative change in tidal volume from the tidal volume that corresponds to normocapnia ( $P_aCO_2 = 40 \text{ mmHg}$ ) and is individual for each animal, affects the average level of  $P_aCO_2$  more during HFOV than CV. For example, a decrease in tidal volume by 10% from normocapnia causes  $P_aCO_2$  to increase by 5 mmHg (13%) on average during CV, but by 10 mmHg (24%) on average during HFOV. The increase in tidal volume by 10% from normocapnia reduces  $P_aCO_2$  by 4 mmHg (10%) on average during CV, but by 7 mmHg (17%) on average during HFOV. As the ventilatory frequency was kept constant during the experiment, the minute ventilation was directly proportional to the delivered tidal volume; therefore, minute ventilation had a stronger effect on CO<sub>2</sub> elimination in HFOV compared to CV.

The effect of changes in tidal volume on oxygenation is presented in Fig. 2. The same relative change in tidal volume, expressed as a ratio of the current and the normocapnic tidal volume, generates different changes in oxygenation during HFOV and CV. In this figure, the change in arterial partial pressure of oxygen ( $\Delta P_a O_2$ ) values represent differences in current  $P_a O_2$  from the reference normocapnic  $P_a O_2$  value determined for each animal separately. The power function  $y = ax^b + c$  was applied to both the HFOV data ( $R^2 = 0.963$ ) and the CV data ( $R^2 = 0.869$ ). The function expresses the predicted average change in  $P_a O_2$  in response to the change in tidal volume relative to the tidal volume that was titrated to achieve normocapnia. This effect of changes in tidal volume on the





change of  $P_aO_2$  is significantly stronger during HFOV (P < 0.001). Increasing tidal volume above its normocapnic value during HFOV represents a method to significantly improve oxygenation, whereas the improvement in oxygenation during CV hyperventilation is limited. Likewise, any decrease in tidal volume causes a rapid decrease in oxygenation during HFOV, whereas this effect is much smaller during CV. For example, the decrease in tidal volume by 10% from normocapnia causes an average reduction of  $P_aO_2$  by 7 mmHg during CV, but by 17 mmHg during HFOV. The increase in tidal volume by 10% from normocapnia causes of  $P_aO_2$  by 5 mmHg during CV, but 12 mmHg during HFOV. Additionally, as the ventilatory frequency was kept constant during the experiment, the same findings as those for tidal volume could be applied to minute ventilation.

Graph presented in Fig. 3 summarizes the previous two graphs (Figs. 1 and 2) and shows the different degree of interconnectedness of the changes in  $P_aCO_2$  with the changes in  $P_aO_2$  during CV and HFOV. The linear functions were fitted to the data ( $R^2$ =0.980 for HFOV and  $R^2$ =0.885 for CV). The graph documents that the change in  $P_aCO_2$  induced by the manipulation of tidal volume inevitably brings with it a change in oxygenation (i.e.,  $P_aO_2$ ), while this effect on oxygenation is significantly greater in HFOV compared to CV (P<0.001). As a result, for example, correction of  $P_aCO_2$  by a change in  $V_T$  causes a significantly greater change in  $P_aO_2$  in HFOV than in CV. The change in oxygenation in HFOV is more closely related to the change in  $P_aCO_2$  than in CV.

# Discussion

The most important finding of this study is that changes in  $P_aCO_2$  induced by adjustment of tidal volume during HFOV affect oxygenation significantly compared to the same  $P_aCO_2$  changes during CV.



Furthermore, increasing tidal volume above its normocapnic value during HFOV represents a way of a significant improvement in oxygenation, whereas improvement in oxygenation during CV hyperventilation is limited.

The limitation of improving oxygenation while increasing tidal volumes in CV is well known [2, 18]. This means that increasing tidal volume is not considered as a tool to improve oxygenation during CV and the results of the current study are consistent with this principle. On the other hand, we did not find such a limitation in oxygenation improvement during HFOV. At the same time, any decrease in tidal volume causes a rapid worsening of oxygenation during HFOV, whereas this effect is much smaller during CV.

In order to decrease the tidal volume during CV, permissive hypercapnia may be introduced [15-17]. The reduction in oxygenation as an effect of the introduction of permissive hypercapnia during CV is unanticipated and has not been reported yet. The current study shows a stronger relationship between oxygenation and CO<sub>2</sub> elimination caused by changes in tidal volume during HFOV. Thus, the use of permissive hypercapnia during HFOV may further deteriorate oxygenation. In practice, for example, there are cases when it is not possible to achieve normocapnia despite the use of the largest possible tidal volume, which is limited by setting the maximum possible value of the parameter 'power' determining the magnitude of pressure oscillations and thus the maximum achieved tidal volume. If hypercapnia is allowed in this case, worsened oxygenation can be expected as a consequence of the significant effect of the suboptimal tidal volume not only on the elimination of carbon dioxide but also on oxygenation. Permissive hypercapnia may also be instituted via intentional reduction of the pressure oscillations amplitude (by setting lower 'power') in order to strengthen the protectivity of HFOV to the lung tissue [19]. Intentional reduction of tidal volume in this scenario may negatively affect oxygenation as well. The authors speculate that in some cases the deterioration in oxygenation during HFOV with permissive hypercapnia may be falsely interpreted as HFOV inefficiency.

These results may help to explain the HFOV-related controversies after two large HFOV clinical studies OSCAR [20] and OSCILLATE [21] as well as the consequential analyses and review articles were published. Recent studies demonstrated that HFOV requires an individual approach when setting the ventilator [22, 23] and the lack of the individual approach to HFOV settings could have affected the outcome of the earlier large studies [24, 25]. Both in pediatric [26] and adult patients [27] HFOV needs to be applied on a case-by-case basis for which a better understanding of HFOV is required. The care of patients with ARDS is being further optimized, now also due to COVID-19 ARDS. Clinicians are studying new techniques and approaches. Effect of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation [28, 29], different levels of PEEP [30], prone positioning [31, 32], respiratory system impedance analysis [33], and different times of weaning [34] has been studied. For the use of HFOV in the treatment of ARDS, there is a need to understand the place of HFOV in the spectrum of diagnostic and therapeutic techniques for severe ARDS care and to define the optimal HFOV strategy [35]. For example, electrical impedance tomography seems to be a promising diagnostic tool for individualized settings of both HFOV [36] and CV [37].

During the study, we kept all the ventilatory parameters constant, except for the intentionally changed tidal volume (set indirectly using pressure amplitude  $\Delta P$  during HFOV). If the intentional change in  $\Delta P$  caused any change in other parameters, the setting of ventilator 3100B was immediately corrected. We especially observed mean airway pressure, as this parameter has a direct effect on oxygenation and therefore could affect the results of our study.

Several studies documented that mean alveolar pressure may differ from the mean airway pressure measured in the airway opening and measured by 3100B ventilator used. Pillow et al. [38] investigated, that I:E ratio is a crucial determinant of whether such a difference is present. Nevertheless, in their study, they found no evidence of significant air-trapping (i.e., the difference between mean alveolar pressure and mean airway pressure) at an I:E ratio of 1:1, which is the I:E ratio used in our study. Furthermore, they did not observe a significant development of air-trapping at I:E ratio of 1:1 across a wide range of  $\Delta P$  from a minimum of 10 cmH<sub>2</sub>O to a maximum of 90 cmH<sub>2</sub>O. Several previous studies in dogs [39], rabbits [40], and adult human subjects [41, 42] have shown that air-trapping elevated alveolar pressure above mean airway pressure when HFOV was employed at an I:E ratio of 1:1. A number of mechanisms have been postulated to account for this effect, and each depends on the presence of asymmetry between inspiratory and expiratory resistance [38]. In our study, we did not have an opportunity to assess mean alveolar pressure and to confirm or disprove the presence of an air-trapping that could influence oxygenation. However, we do not consider this condition to be a disadvantage of our protocol as mean airway pressure measured at the airway opening is so far the only parameter used for setting mean airway pressure during HFOV in all the published studies.

Several reasons led us to choose a group of healthy animals for the study. As we planned to evaluate the effects of tidal volume changes during the course of each protocol phase, a model stable for several hours was required so that the recorded changes within a phase would be driven by  $V_{\rm T}$  and would not be affected by changing lung condition and function. All ARDS models evolve in time and therefore the lung parameters change. The most common ARDS model induced by normal saline lung lavage does not represent a typical ARDS lung as ARDS in patients is rarely, if ever, solely a result of surfactant deficiency. Nevertheless, the effect of HFOV hyperventilation observed in this study in healthy animals corresponds to the results of an HFOV hyperventilation study conducted in a porcine ARDS model induced by saline lavage [43]. Hyperventilation with  $V_{\rm T}$  increased to 135% of its normocapnic value increased P<sub>a</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by 31 mmHg in the current study; whereas  $V_{\rm T}$  increased to 146% caused an increase in P<sub>a</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by 28 mmHg in the saline lavage ARDS model in pigs.

The intention of our study design was to approach, as much as possible, the way in which both the CV and HFOV are used in clinical practice. The ventilation modes differ in the mechanism of gas exchange, the way of control and the significance and typical values of their parameters. Thus, in attempting a fair comparison, we did not seek a formal agreement on some parameter of the ventilator setup such as the same initial tidal volume. Instead, we adopted the opposite approach, individualizing the ventilation settings to have the same resulting effect on the internal environment, i.e., normocapnia. The aim of the study was to show that the change of ventilation, that is used to adjust  $P_aCO_2$ , affects the  $P_aCO_2$  and  $P_aO_2$ differently during the CV and HFOV under the condition of unaltered PEEP and mean airway pressure, respectively. The role of the mean airway pressure in the ventilation control in HFOV can be viewed in a manner similar to the PEEP level in CV [44]. When a change in oxygenation is unnecessary, the parameters remain usually unchanged. Our study can be understood as a sort of sensitivity analysis, where we investigate how the relative change in tidal volume to an individually set normocapnic tidal volume affects the change in blood gases in HFOV compared to CV. Our results suggest that whereas during CV the changes in oxygenation due to changes in ventilation are more or less negligible, the relatively same percentual changes in ventilation during HFOV may affect oxygenation significantly and should be taken into account. During HFOV, ventilation and oxygenation are coupled more than it has been assumed so far. This difference in coupling of oxygenation and CO<sub>2</sub> elimination is explicitly expressed by the different slopes of the CV and HFOV lines in Fig. 3, where  $P_aO_2$  changes more rapidly for the same change in  $P_aCO_2$  for HFOV than for CV.

# Conclusions

The relative change in tidal volume occurring during titration of a target  $P_aCO_2$  during HFOV reasonably affects oxygenation during HFOV compared to CV. Increasing tidal volume improves oxygenation, whereas a decrease in tidal volume from its normocapnic value causes a rapid decrease in oxygenation during HFOV compared to CV. A change in  $P_aCO_2$  induced by the manipulation of tidal volume inevitably brings with it a change in oxygenation, while this effect on oxygenation is significantly greater in HFOV compared to CV.

# Methods

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University. The study was performed in an accredited animal laboratory of the Institute of Physiology, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, in accordance with Act No. 246/1992 Coll., on the protection of animals against the cruelty that is harmonized with EU legislation. Twelve crossbred (Landrace × Large White) female pigs (*Sus scrofa domestica*) 4-5 months old with an average body weight of 44 kg (42-54 kg range), were involved in the study.

# Anesthesia and preparation

Animals were premedicated by azaperone (2 mg/kg IM), followed by anesthesia with ketamine hydrochloride (20 mg/kg IM) and atropine sulphate (0.02 mg/kg IM). When placed on the operating table, initial boluses of propofol (2 mg/kg IV) and morphine (0.1 mg/kg IV) were administered. Animals were orotracheally intubated with a cuffed endotracheal tube (I.D. 7.5 mm) and connected to a conventional ventilator Hamilton G5 (Hamilton Medical AG, Bonaduz, Switzerland) in INTELLiVENT-ASV mode. An ear vein was cannulated and a continuous infusion of propofol (8 to 10 mg/kg/h IV) combined with morphine (0.1 to 0.2 mg/kg/h IV) and heparin (40 to 50 U/kg/h IV) was initiated to maintain anesthesia. The drugs doses were adjusted to keep the animals in the stage of surgical anesthesia throughout the procedure. The depth of anesthesia was checked according to reflex responses and brain monitoring of bispectral index (BIS). Initial rapid infusion of 1000 mL of normal saline was given intravenously, followed by a continuous IV infusion of 200 mL/h to 500 mL/h to reach and maintain central venous pressure of 5 to 7 mmHg. Femoral venous and arterial cannulation were performed to obtain central venous pressure (CVP, v. femoralis) and arterial blood pressure (ABP, a. *femoralis*). Cardiac output (CO), mixed venous blood oxygen saturation (SvO<sub>2</sub>) and pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) were measured continuously by a Swan-Ganz catheter inserted via the right femoral vein and connected to a hemodynamic monitor (Vigilance I, Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA). Arterial blood gases were monitored continuously using a continuous blood parameter monitoring system CDI 500 (Terumo, Tokyo, Japan), via a sensor placed in the extracorporeal arteriovenous shunt (between a. *femoralis* and *v. femoralis*). The muscle relaxant pipecuronium bromide (4 mg boluses every 45 min) was administered during the experimental phase to suppress spontaneous breathing.

# Study design

The study was designed as a prospective interventional crossover study. The study protocol is summarized in Fig. 4. After the preparation, instrumentation, and muscle relaxation, the animals were randomized either to conventional ventilation (CV phase) or to high-frequency oscillatory ventilation (HFOV phase). The randomization was conducted using a table of random numbers. After the completion of the designated phase, the other phase was initiated immediately.

During the CV phase, pigs were ventilated using the conventional ventilator Hamilton G5 in the volume-controlled ventilation mode (synchronized controlled mandatory ventilation, (S)CMV). At the beginning of the phase, a recruitment maneuver was performed using the P/V Tool feature of the Hamilton G5 ventilator (increasing airway pressure from 5 to 35 cmH<sub>2</sub>O at the speed of 3 cmH<sub>2</sub>O/s and followed by an inspiratory hold for 5 s) in order to minimize effects of the lung volume history and conditions among the animals. The ventilator settings were: respiratory rate 18 breaths per minute, FiO<sub>2</sub> 21%, I:E 1:1 and a decelerating flow pattern was selected.



The positive end-expiratory pressure was set 2 cmH<sub>2</sub>O above the lower inflection point (LIP) of the static pressure–volume curve recorded using the P/V Tool provided by the ventilator [44, 45]. When PEEP predicted by the P/V Tool was lower than 5 cmH<sub>2</sub>O or the lower inflection point could not be identified, PEEP was set to 7 cmH<sub>2</sub>O. This ventilator setting, including FiO<sub>2</sub>, was kept constant during the whole CV phase. The initial tidal volume was set at 8.5 mL/kg of the actual body weight and was titrated to reach normocapnia (P<sub>a</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>=40±1 mmHg) while maintaining a respiratory rate of 18 breaths per minute.

At the beginning of the HFOV phase, a recruitment maneuver was performed by inflating the lungs to a pressure of 40 cmH<sub>2</sub>O for 15 s [24] with no oscillations in order to minimize the effects of the lung volume history and conditions among the animals. A high-frequency oscillatory ventilator SensorMedics 3100B (SensorMedics, Yorba Linda, CA, USA) was used in the HFOV phase. The ventilator settings were:  $FiO_2$  21%, bias flow 35 L/min, frequency of oscillations 5 Hz and I:E 1:1. The ventilator setting was kept constant during the whole HFOV phase. The HFOV mean airway pressure was initially set 5 cmH<sub>2</sub>O above the mean airway pressure during preceding conventional ventilation (CV phase or the preparatory phase when the animal was randomized to HFOV phase first) [5, 25]. Then, the mean airway pressure was titrated to reach the best oxygenation without compromising circulation. The increase of mean airway pressure was stopped when the heart rate reached 160 bpm, mean arterial blood pressure was equal to or less than 50 mmHg, or mean pulmonary artery pressure was equal to or greater than 35 mmHg. The initial tidal volume was set to 2.5 mL/kg of the actual body weight by adjusting  $\Delta P$  on the ventilator and was titrated to reach normocapnia  $(P_aCO_2 = 40 \pm 1 \text{ mmHg})$ . There were no ETT cuff leaks used during HFOV.

The rest of both CV and HFOV phases were identical. The titrated normocapnic tidal volume  $V_{\rm T titr}$  found at the beginning of each phase was used for determining the range of tidal volumes to be tested and was not used later for any adjustment or calculation. The maximum tidal volume  $V_{\rm T\ max}$  was calculated as 135% of the normocapnic  $V_{\rm T\ titr}$ whereas the minimum tidal volume  $V_{\rm T\ min}$  was calculated as 80% of the normocapnic  $V_{\rm T\ titr}$ . First,  $V_{\rm T}$  was set to the calculated  $V_{\rm T\ max}$  and this setting was kept for at least 30 min. Arterial blood gases were recorded and their stability was confirmed after additional 5 min. Then, the tidal volume was reduced stepwise by 20 mL in CV phase or 5 mL in HFOV phase until  $V_{\rm T min}$  was reached. After each change of  $V_{\rm T}$ , the ventilatory parameters were checked immediately. When the change of  $V_{\rm T}$  induced a change in other parameters (e.g., mean airway pressure was slightly affected during HFOV), a correction was made to restore the original values recorded prior to the  $V_{\rm T}$  change. Then, all the ventilatory parameters were kept constant for 15 min and arterial blood gases were recorded. After another 5-min period, the measurement was repeated. If the change in any of the arterial blood gases was not greater than 1 mmHg, the stepwise  $V_{\rm T}$  reduction continued. Otherwise, another 5-min period for stabilization was introduced followed by another recording of arterial blood gases. The final values of blood gases at a given  $V_{\rm T}$  were calculated as an average of the last two measurements. In some pigs it was not possible to achieve the calculated  $V_{\rm T max}$  due to reaching maximum  $\Delta P$  of the ventilator or to use the calculated  $V_{\rm T\,min}$  due to severe hypoventilation of the animal. Blood oxygen

saturation was continuously monitored, and the reduction of tidal volume was stopped if SpO<sub>2</sub> fell below 85%. In such situations the range of set  $V_{\rm T}$  was shortened accordingly.

Arterial blood gases ( $P_aO_2$ ,  $P_aCO_2$ , and pH) were measured using the CDI 500 continuous blood parameter monitoring system. The accuracy of the system was verified prior to the experiment and then every 1 h by analysis of an arterial blood sample using a blood gas analyzer AVL Compact 3 (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark). Heart rate, ABP, CVP, PAP, SpO<sub>2</sub>, ECG, body temperature, and BIS were monitored using the MU-631 RK (Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan) patient monitor. CO and SvO<sub>2</sub> were measured by the Vigilance I monitor.

As the SensorMedics 3100B HFOV ventilator does not measure tidal volume, the delivered tidal volume was measured using a Florian (Acutronic, Hirzel, Switzerland) respiratory function monitor. The same device was used to measure delivered tidal volume during CV in order to eliminate a systematic error. The Florian monitor was calibrated using a 1-L calibrating syringe (Hans Rudolph, Shawnee, KS, USA) and the accuracy of the monitor was also verified prior to the experiment using a VT Plus FH (Fluke Biomedical, Everett, WA, USA) gas flow analyzer during both CV and HFOV ventilatory modes.

# Data analysis and statistics

After the completion of each phase, characterized by the stepwise reduction of tidal volume from  $V_{\rm T\,max}$  to  $V_{\rm T\,min}$ , a value of tidal volume  $V_{\rm T\,norm}$  corresponding to normocapnia ( $P_{\rm a}{\rm CO}_2 = 40 \,{\rm mmHg}$ ) was determined and used for further calculations, regardless the titrated normocapnic tidal volume  $V_{\rm T\,titr}$  found at the beginning of each phase. When the exact normocapnic  $P_{\rm a}{\rm CO}_2$  level was not directly recorded in the measured data,  $V_{\rm T\,norm}$  was estimated by linear interpolation between the two nearest tidal volumes. Then, relative tidal volumes, expressed as a percentage of  $V_{\rm T\,norm}$ , were calculated as  $V_{\rm T}/V_{\rm T\,norm}$ . The ventilation settings parameters (pressures and volumes) including the calculated  $V_{\rm T\,norm}$  are summarized in Table 1.

The data obtained from all the animals were aggregated into two groups corresponding to CV and HFOV phases. Three relationships among the pooled data were examined: (1) The recorded  $P_aCO_2$  levels related to the relative tidal volumes were

ingrinequency obtainatory ventilation (in ovy phase of the experimente		
Variable (unit)	CV	HFOV
PEEP (cmH <sub>2</sub> O)	9.3±7.0	N/A
MAP (cmH <sub>2</sub> O)	13.1±4.2	N/A
Initial MAP (cmH <sub>2</sub> O)	N/A	$16.0 \pm 3.8$
Titrated MAP (cmH <sub>2</sub> O)	N/A	16.8±4.0
V <sub>T norm</sub> (mL)	$371 \pm 55$	110±12
V <sub>T max</sub> (mL)	456±78	129±17
V <sub>T min</sub> (mL)	317±51	$98 \pm 14$

 Table 1
 Ventilation settings and outcomes during the conventional ventilation (CV) phase and the high-frequency oscillatory ventilation (HFOV) phase of the experiment

Data are reported as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation

PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure; *MAP*, mean airway pressure;  $V_{T norm}$ , tidal volume corresponding to normocapnia;  $V_{T max}$  maximum tidal volume;  $V_{T min}$ , minimum tidal volume

analyzed. (2) The change in arterial partial pressure of oxygen ( $\Delta P_a O_2$ ) was calculated as the difference between  $P_a O_2$  values and the normocapnic  $P_a O_2$  (i.e., the level of  $P_a O_2$  corresponding to  $V_{T norm}$ ). These  $\Delta P_a O_2$  values were analyzed with respect to relative tidal volumes. (3) Finally, the mutual relation between  $\Delta P_a O_2$  and  $P_a CO_2$  as a result of changes in tidal volume was examined.

A power function in the form of  $y = ax^b + c$  was used to fit each of the data groups (CV and HFOV) in the figures  $P_aCO_2$  vs.  $V_T/V_T$  norm and  $\Delta P_aO_2$  vs.  $V_T/V_T$  norm. The linear function was used to fit the data groups in the  $\Delta P_aO_2$  vs.  $P_aCO_2$  figure. For the fitted curves, 95% functional prediction intervals were calculated. The curve fitting was performed in MATLAB Curve Fitting Toolbox (MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) was calculated for each of the fitted curves to evaluate the goodness of fit. For a pair of fitted curves in each of the figures, a version of the *F*-test applied to nonlinear models was used to determine whether the HFOV and CV trends differ significantly [46, 47]. *P* values less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

#### Abbreviations

ABP: Arterial blood pressure; ARDS: Acute respiratory distress syndrome; BIS: Bispectral index; CO: Cardiac output; CO<sub>2</sub>: Carbon dioxide; CV: Conventional mechanical ventilation; CVP: Central venous pressure; ECG: Electrocardiogram; ETT: Endotracheal tube; FiO<sub>2</sub>: Fraction of inspired oxygen; HFOV: High-frequency oscillatory ventilation; I:E: Inspiratory-to-expiratory ratio; IM: Intramuscular; IV: Intravenous; LIP: Lower inflection point; MAP: Mean airway pressure; PaCO<sub>2</sub>: Arterial partial pressures of carbon dioxide; PaO<sub>2</sub>: Arterial partial pressures of oxygen; PAP: Pulmonary artery pressure; PEEP: Positive end-expiratory pressure;  $R^2$ : Coefficient of determination; (S)CMV: Synchronized controlled mandatory ventilation; SpO<sub>2</sub>: Peripheral oxygen saturation; SvO<sub>2</sub>: Mixed venous blood oxygen saturation;  $V_{T max}$ : Maximum tidal volume;  $V_{T min}$ : Minimum tidal volume;  $V_{T omm}$ : Normocapnic tidal volume;  $V_{T titt}$ : Titrated normocapnic tidal volume;  $\Delta P$ : Pressure amplitude ('power');  $\Delta P_aO_2$ : Change in arterial pressure of oxygen.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Richard Grunes and Ondrej Hajny for their assistance with animal experiments; Matej Hrachovina for multimodal data recording; staff of the accredited animal laboratory of the Institute of Physiology, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, for the care about animals during the experiments; and Stephen Derdak, DO, San Antonio Military Medical Center, for help with the clinical interpretation of the results.

#### Authors' contributions

KR proposed the study and was responsible for the study protocol. JR analyzed the data and prepared the figures. MR and PK were responsible for the experimental devices and equipment and measurement accuracy. MM designed the animal care protocol and supervised the animal care during the whole study. All authors participated in planning and performing the experiments. KR and JR wrote the main text of the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript. All authors reviewed the final manuscript.

### Funding

The study was supported by the Czech Technical University in Prague, grants SGS17/203/OHK4/3T/17 and SGS20/202/ OHK4/3T/17, and the Charles University, grant Progress 206041.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University. The study was performed in an accredited animal laboratory of the Institute of Physiology, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, in accordance with Act No. 246/1992 Coll., on the protection of animals against the cruelty that is harmonized with EU legislation.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedical Technology, Faculty of Biomedical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, nam. Sitna 3105, 272 01 Kladno, Czech Republic. <sup>2</sup>Institute of Physiology, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Albertov 5, 128 00 Prague, Czech Republic.

Received: 1 October 2021 Accepted: 30 January 2022 Published online: 13 February 2022

# References

- 1. Girard TD, Bernard GR. Mechanical ventilation in ARDS: a state-of-the-art review. Chest. 2007;131(3):921-9.
- 2. Hasan A. Understanding mechanical ventilation: a practical handbook. 2nd ed. London: Springer; 2010.
- 3. Visick WD, Fairley HB, Hickey RF. The effects of tidal volume and end-expiratory pressure on pulmonary gas exchange during anesthesia. Anesthesiology. 1973;39(3):285–90.
- Brower RG, Matthay MA, Morris A, Schoenfeld D, Thompson BT, Wheeler A. Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2000;342(18):1301–8.
- 5. Ritacca FV, Stewart TE. Clinical review: high-frequency oscillatory ventilation in adults—a review of the literature and practical applications. Crit Care. 2003;7(5):385–90.
- Hupp SR, Turner DA, Rehder KJ. Is there still a role for high-frequency oscillatory ventilation in neonates, children and adults? Expert Rev Respir Med. 2015;9(5):603–18.
- 7. Derdak S. Lung-protective higher frequency oscillatory ventilation. Crit Care Med. 2008;36(4):1358–60.
- 8. Van de Kieft M, Dorsey D, Morison D, Bravo L, Venticinque S, Derdak S. High-frequency oscillatory ventilation: lessons learned from mechanical test lung models. Crit Care Med. 2005;33(3 Suppl):S142–7.
- 9. Higgins J, Estetter B, Holland D, Smith B, Derdak S. High-frequency oscillatory ventilation in adults: respiratory therapy issues. Crit Care Med. 2005;33(3 Suppl):S196-203.
- 10. Fessler HE, Brower RG. Protocols for lung protective ventilation. Crit Care Med. 2005;33(3 Suppl):S223-7.
- 11. Bostick AW, Naworol GA, Britton TJ, Ori TR, French SK, Derdak S. Inspiratory limb carbon dioxide entrainment during high-frequency oscillatory ventilation: characterization in a mechanical test lung and swine model. Respir Care. 2012;57(11):1865–72.
- 12. Chatburn RL, El-Khatib MF. Carbon dioxide entrainment during high-frequency oscillatory ventilation: a model analysis. Resp Care. 2012;57(11):1984.
- Pachl J, Roubík K, Waldauf P, Fric M, Zábrodský V. Normocapnic high-frequency oscillatory ventilation affects differently extrapulmonary and pulmonary forms of acute respiratory distress syndrome in adults. Physiol Res. 2006;55(1):15–24.
- 14. Roubik K. Measuring and evaluating system designed for high frequency oscillatory ventilation monitoring. Biomed Tech. 2014;59(s1):S979.
- Duval EL, van Vught AJ. Status asthmaticus treated by high-frequency oscillatory ventilation. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2000;30(4):350–3.
- Hamel DS, Cheifetz IM. High-frequency oscillatory ventilation—a clinical approach. South Afr J Crit Care. 2005;21(1):15–24.
- 17. David M, Heinrichs W. High-frequency oscillatory ventilation and an interventional lung assist device to treat hypoxaemia and hypercapnia. Br J Anaesth. 2004;93(4):582–6.
- Sprung J, Whalley DG, Falcone T, Wilks W, Navratil JE, Bourke DL. The effects of tidal volume and respiratory rate on oxygenation and respiratory mechanics during laparoscopy in morbidly obese patients. Anesth Analg. 2003;97(1):268–74.
- Fujita Y, Uchiyama A, Mashimo T, Nishimura M, Fujino Y. Permissive hypercapnia, instituted via reduction of pressure amplitude on pulmonary tissue protection during high frequency oscillatory ventilation, is not protective in a rat model of acid-induced lung injury. Medl Scie Monit. 2009;15(8):207–12.
- Young D, Lamb SE, Shah S, MacKenzie I, Tunnicliffe W, Lall R, et al. High-frequency oscillation for acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(9):806–13.
- Ferguson ND, Cook DJ, Guyatt GH, Mehta S, Hand L, Austin P, et al. High-frequency oscillation in early acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(9):795–805.
- 22. de Jager P, Kamp T, Dijkstra SK, Burgerhof JGM, Markhorst DG, Curley MAQ, et al. Feasibility of an alternative, physiologic, individualized open-lung approach to high-frequency oscillatory ventilation in children. Ann Intensive Care. 2019;9:9.
- 23. de Jager P, Burgerhof JGM, Koopman AA, Markhorst DG, Kneyber MCJ. Physiologic responses to a staircase lung volume optimization maneuver in pediatric high-frequency oscillatory ventilation. Ann Intensive Care. 2020;10:153.
- 24. Luecke T, Meinhardt JP, Herrmann P, Weisser G, Pelosi P, Quintel M. Setting mean airway pressure during highfrequency oscillatory ventilation according to the static pressure–volume curve in surfactant-deficient lung injury: a computed tomography study. Anesthesiology. 2003;99(6):1313–22.
- Guervilly C, Forel JM, Hraiech S, Demory D, Allardet-Servent J, Adda M, et al. Right ventricular function during high-frequency oscillatory ventilation in adults with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med. 2012;40(5):1539–45.
- 26. Bateman ST, Borasino S, Asaro LA, Cheifetz IM, Diane S, Wypij D, et al. Reply: do we really know how to use high-frequency oscillatory ventilation in critically ill children? Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2016;193(9):1068–9.
- 27. Facchin F, Fan E. Airway pressure release ventilation and high-frequency oscillatory ventilation: potential strategies to treat severe hypoxemia and prevent ventilator-induced lung injury. Respir Care. 2015;60(10):1509–21.

- 28. Schmandt M, Glowka TR, Kreyer S, Muders T, Muenster S, Theuerkauf NU, et al. Secondary ARDS following acute pancreatitis: is extracorporeal membrane oxygenation feasible or futile? J Clin Med. 2021;10(5):1000.
- Levin NM, Ciullo AL, Overton S, Mitchell N, Skidmore CR, Tonna JE. Characteristics of patients managed without positive pressure ventilation while on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome. J Clin Med. 2021;10(2):251.
- Fiedler MO, Simeliunas E, Deutsch BL, Diktanaite D, Harms A, Brune M, et al. Impact of different positive end-expiratory pressures on lung mechanics in the setting of moderately elevated intra-abdominal pressure and acute lung injury in a porcine model. J Clin Med. 2021;10(2):306.
- Parker EM, Bittner EA, Berra L, Pino RM. Efficiency of prolonged prone positioning for mechanically ventilated patients infected with COVID-19. J Clin Med. 2021;10(13):2969.
- Vollenberg R, Matern P, Nowacki T, Fuhrmann V, Padberg J-S, Ochs K, et al. Prone position in mechanically ventilated COVID-19 patients: a multicenter study. J Clin Med. 2021;10(5):1046.
- Zannin E, Dellaca' RL, Dognini G, Marconi L, Perego M, Pillow JJ, et al. Effect of frequency on pressure cost of ventilation and gas exchange in newborns receiving high-frequency oscillatory ventilation. Pediatr Res. 2017;82(6):994–9.
- Bordon J, Akca O, Furmanek S, Cavallazzi RS, Suliman S, Aboelnasr A, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome and time to weaning off the invasive mechanical ventilator among patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. J Clin Med. 2021;10(13):2935.
- 35. Ng J, Ferguson ND. High-frequency oscillatory ventilation: still a role? Curr Opin Crit Care. 2017;23(2):175–9.
- Liu S, Zhao Z, Tan L, Lihui W, Möller K, Frerichs I, et al. Optimal mean airway pressure during high-frequency oscillatory ventilation in an experimental model of acute respiratory distress syndrome: EIT-based method. Ann Intensive Care. 2020;10:31.
- Muders T, Hentze B, Kreyer S, Wodack KH, Leonhardt S, Hedenstierna G, et al. Measurement of electrical impedance tomography-based regional ventilation delay for individualized titration of end-expiratory pressure. J Clin Med. 2021;10(13):2933.
- Pillow JJ, Neil H, Wilkinson MH, Ramsden CA. Effect of I/E ratio on mean alveolar pressure during high-frequency oscillatory ventilation. J Appl Physiol. 1999;87(1):407–14.
- Simon BA, Weinmann GG, Mitzner W. Mean airway pressure and alveolar pressure during high-frequency ventilation. J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol. 1984;57(4):1069–78.
- 40. Gerstmann DR, Fouke JM, Winter DC, Taylor AF, deLemos RA. Proximal, tracheal, and alveolar pressures during high-frequency oscillatory ventilation in a normal rabbit model. Pediatr Res. 1990;28(4):367–73.
- Saari AF, Rossing TH, Solway J, Drazen JM. Lung inflation during high-frequency ventilation. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1984;129(2):333–6.
- 42. Solway J, Rossing TH, Saari AF, Drazen JM. Expiratory flow limitation and dynamic pulmonary hyperinflation during high-frequency ventilation. J Appl Physiol. 1986;60(6):2071–8.
- Roubík K, Pachl J, Zábrodský V. Normocapnic high frequency oscillatory hyperventilation increases oxygenation in pigs. Physiol Res. 2011;60(5):749–55.
- Goddon S, Fujino Y, Hromi JM, Kacmarek RM. Optimal mean airway pressure during high-frequency oscillation: predicted by the pressure-volume curve. Anesthesiology. 2001;94(5):862–9.
- 45. Amato MB, Barbas CS, Medeiros DM, Magaldi RB, Schettino GP, Lorenzi-Filho G, et al. Effect of a protective-ventilation strategy on mortality in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med. 1998;338(6):347–54.
- 46. Ratkowsky DA. Nonlinear regression modeling: a unified practical approach. New York: M. Dekker; 1983.
- Motulsky HJ, Ransnas LA. Fitting curves to data using nonlinear regression: a practical and nonmathematical review. FASEB J. 1987;1(5):365–74.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Roubík, K., Ráfl, J., Rožánek, M. et al. Tidal volume significantly affects oxygenation in healthy pigs during high-frequency oscillatory ventilation compared to conventional ventilation. BioMed Eng OnLine 21, 14 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12938-022-00984-x

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions